

### **Toxics Cleanup Program Policy**

Policy 310A

Resource Contact: Policy and Technical Support Staff Effective: January 5, 1993

References: Revised: August 4, 2004

### **Policy 310A Initial Investigations**

The Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), chapter 70.105D RCW, requires the Department of Ecology (Ecology) to initiate the investigation of a hazardous waste site after Ecology receives information that the site may pose a threat to human health or the environment. The purpose of the initial investigation is to determine whether a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance may have occurred that warrants further action under MTCA. The initial investigation also provides the initial investigator with an opportunity to encourage cleanup of easily remediated releases.

This policy provides guidance regarding the initial investigation process set forth in WAC 173-340-310, including guidance regarding the following:

- Whether an initial investigation is required;
- Whether another agency or a consultant may conduct the initial investigation;
- What tasks must be completed as part of the initial investigation;
- What decision must be made based on the initial investigation;
- Who must make that decision;
- Who must be notified of the decision; and
- How the site will be tracked.

As part of the initial investigation, the investigator must also begin the process of identifying potentially liable persons (PLPs). For guidance regarding the identification of PLPs, see POL 500B, Identification of Potentially Liable Persons.

#### 1. Ecology Must Determine Whether an Initial Investigation is Required

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-310(2), whenever Ecology receives a release report or combined release and action report, Ecology must evaluate the report to determine whether an initial investigation is required. An initial investigation is required whenever Ecology believes that all of the following apply to the situation:

- A. There may be a release or threatened release to the environment;
- B. The release or threatened release is of a hazardous substance; and
- C. The release or threatened release may pose a threat to human health or the environment.

Page 1 of 14 Revised: 08/04/04

When determining whether an initial investigation is required, Ecology must consider each site on its own merits. In other words, Ecology must not lump the site together with adjacent sites and consider the cumulative threat posed by the sites.

If Ecology determines that an initial investigation is required, Ecology must complete the investigation within ninety (90) calendar days of receiving the release report.

Typically, release reports and combined release and action reports are processed by the Regional Environmental Response Tracking System (ERTS) coordinator and referred to the Toxics Cleanup Program (TCP) for evaluation.

#### 2. Certain Releases Do Not Require an Initial Investigation

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-310(3), even if Ecology determines that an initial investigation is warranted because it believes there may be a release or threatened release of hazardous substances that may pose a threat to human health or the environment, Ecology does not need to conduct an initial investigation if:

- A. The circumstances associated with the release or threatened release are known to Ecology and have previously been or are currently being evaluated by Ecology or another appropriate government agency. Some examples;
- Home heating oil tank releases that are being addressed by the Pollution Liability Insurance Agency (PLIA). It is expected that PLIA will address all home heating oil tank releases unless groundwater or surface water is impacted from the release, adjacent properties are impacted from the release, contamination is pooling on the ground surface, or heating oil is causing fume problems in buildings.
- Drug labs that are being addressed by the Spill Response Program and/or local health districts/enforcement agencies;
- Sites where a cleanup has been conducted and a report has been submitted to Ecology for review under the Voluntary Cleanup Program. In these cases the elements of an initial investigation described in paragraph 5, below, should be incorporated into the review.
- B. The release is governed by a permit and meets the conditions of the permit; or
- C. The release is exempt from reporting under WAC 173-340-300(3) (see POL 300, Site Discovery Reporting Releases).

In these instances, Ecology may, but is not obliged to, conduct an initial investigation in response to the release report.

#### 3. Ecology May Rely on Others to Conduct an Initial Investigation

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-310(4), Ecology may rely on another government agency or a

consultant under contract with Ecology to conduct an initial investigation on its behalf, provided:

- A. The agency or consultant is not suspected of having contributed to the release or threatened release;
- B. The agency or consultant has no other conflict of interest; and
- C. The agency or consultant provides Ecology with all information required to evaluate the release.

Although Ecology may rely on another government agency or a consultant under contact with Ecology to conduct an initial investigation, Ecology is responsible for making the decision regarding further action based on that investigation. See Section 7 of this policy.

#### 4. Investigator Must Complete Training Prior to Conducting Initial Investigation

TCP staff who conduct initial investigations must complete all required training specified in the TCP Safety Plan prior to conducting an initial investigation. Completion of a risk assessment course is recommended prior to conducting unsupervised initial investigations.

#### 5. Investigator Must Complete Specified Tasks During Initial Investigations

As part of the initial investigation, the investigator must:

A. Complete an Initial Investigation Site Visit Health and Safety Checklist (Attachment A);

The investigator must complete the checklist prior to any on-site inspection activity or entry into contaminated or potentially contaminated areas.

#### B. Conduct a site visit;

A site visit may or may not include site entry. The site investigator must not enter the site or work in the vicinity of a hazard if the investigator is not adequately protected and trained (see also Section 4 of this policy). The investigator must notify the owner or operator prior to entering property if the owner or operator is known, or can readily be identified by the investigator (see also POL 800, Property Access).

C. Complete an Initial Investigation Field Report (Attachment B); and

The investigator must complete the report form after conducting the investigation, including the site visit. As part of the report, the investigator must make a recommendation regarding what, if any, further action is required at the site.

Page 3 of 14 Revised: 08/04/04

D. Identify potentially liable persons (PLPs).

The investigator must identify the current or most recent owner or operator of the site during the investigation. The investigator should also identify any other easily identifiable PLPs. For guidance regarding the identification of PLPs, see POL 500B, Identification of Potentially Liable Persons.

#### 6. Investigator May Conduct Limited Sampling During Initial Investigation

The investigator may conduct limited sampling during the initial investigation for one or more of the following reasons:

- A. To determine whether action under MTCA is required or whether action under another authority is appropriate;
- B. To determine whether an interim or emergency action is required under MTCA;
- C. To identify the source of the hazardous substance; or
- D. To avoid a lost opportunity if sampling is delayed.

If sampling is conducted, the sampling results should be entered into Ecology's Environmental Information Management (EIM) database.

# 7. Ecology Must Make a Decision Regarding Further Action Within 30 Days of the Completion of the Initial Investigation

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-310(5), Ecology must make a decision regarding further action within thirty (30) calendar days of the completion of the initial investigation. Although Ecology may rely on another government agency or a consultant under contract with Ecology to conduct an initial investigation and make a recommendation regarding further action (see Section 3 of this policy), Ecology is still responsible for making the decision regarding what, if any, further action is required at the site. The final decision will be made by the TCP Regional Section Manager or designee.

Ecology's decision must be based on the information contained in Ecology's site file, which must include the required initial investigation forms (Attachments A and B) and any other information known to Ecology regarding the site.

Based on that information, Ecology must make one or more of the following determinations:

- A. A site hazard assessment is required; i.e., the site is listed on the Confirmed or Suspected Contaminated Sites Report;
- B. An emergency action is required;

- C. An interim action is required; or
- D. No further action is required at the site under MTCA because either:
  - i. There has been no release or threatened release of hazardous substances;
  - ii. There has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances, but Ecology has determined that the release does not pose a threat to human health or the environment; or
  - iii. There has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances that Ecology has determined poses a threat to human health or the environment, but Ecology has determined that action under another authority is appropriate.

Ecology's decision regarding the need for and type of action based on the initial investigation does not preclude it from requiring some other action in the future based on a reevaluation of the site or additional information.

If Ecology determines that no further action is required under MTCA, staff should consider whether it is necessary to send the owner/operator an educational mailing discussing ways to prevent releases.

8. For Sites with Easily Remediated Releases, Staff Conducting the Initial Investigation should Encourage the Site Owner/Operator to Clean Up the Site to Avoid Listing of the Property on Ecology's Contaminated Sites Database.

Sometimes during the conduct of the initial investigation it is apparent that the release could be easily remediated. In these situations, the investigator should encourage willing owners/operators to clean up the contamination to avoid listing of the property on Ecology's contaminated sites database. One tool staff can use to accomplish this is to postpone listing the site while providing technical assistance for the cleanup. If the cleanup is accomplished in a timely manner, the initial further action decision can be withdrawn and a no further action decisions rendered.

9. For Sites Requiring an Emergency or Interim Remedial Action, Notification of Persons Located Within the Potentially Affected Vicinity May be Required

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-310(6)(a), if Ecology determines, based on the initial investigation, that an emergency or interim remedial action is required to address the threat to human health or the environment posed by the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, Ecology may require that those persons located within the potentially affected vicinity be notified of that threat. The method and nature of the notification must be determined on a case-specific basis using the methods specified in WAC 173-340-600. Ecology may require the owner or operator of the facility to provide such notification, provided the request is made in writing.

Page 5 of 14 Revised: 08/04/04

#### 10. For Sites Requiring Further Action, Ecology Must Notify Potentially Liable Persons

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-310(6)(b), whenever Ecology determines that further action under MTCA is required at a site based on the initial investigation, Ecology must provide written notification of its determination to the owner, operator, and any potentially liable persons known to Ecology. Such notification must occur before the site is entered on the program's ISIS database.

The written notification (commonly referred to as the "early notice letter") must include:

- A. The basis for Ecology's decision;
- B. Information regarding the cleanup process provided for in chapter 173-340 WAC;
- C. A statement that it is Ecology's policy to work cooperatively with persons to accomplish prompt and effective cleanups;
- D. A person or office of Ecology to contact regarding the contents of the letter; and
- E. A statement that the letter is not a determination of liability and that cooperating with Ecology in planning or conducting a remedial action is not an admission of guilt or liability.

If potentially liable persons have already been identified, the written notification may be combined with the determination of status letter in WAC 173-340-500.

#### 11. For Sites Requiring Further Action, Ecology Will Track the Site on the ISIS Database

Whenever Ecology determines that further action under MTCA is required at a site based on the initial investigation, Ecology will enter the site on the TCP's Integrated Site Information System (ISIS) database. The site will be reported on the Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites (CSCS) List.

The decision regarding the site, along with appropriate site information, should be submitted to the Regional ISIS Coordinator for entry on the ISIS database.

The decision regarding the site should also be submitted to the Regional Environmental Response Tracking System (ERTS) Coordinator for entry on the agency's ERTS.

# 12. For Sites Requiring No Further Action, Ecology Must Notify the Owner or Operator, if Requested

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-310(6)(c), if Ecology determines, based on the initial investigation, that no further action under MTCA is required at a site, then Ecology must provide written notification to the owner or operator of its determination, provided the

Revised: 08/04/04 Page 6 of 14

owner or operator requested such notification. The notification must be in writing and can be a copy of a page of the ERTS sheet showing Ecology's determination.

## 13. For Sites Requiring No Further Action, Ecology Will Not Track The Site On The ISIS Database

If Ecology determines, based on the initial investigation, that no further action under MTCA is required at a site, then Ecology will not enter the site on the TCP's Integrated Site Information System (ISIS) database, and the site will not be reported on the Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites (CSCS) List.

However, the determination regarding the site must still be submitted to the Regional ERTS Coordinator for entry on the agency's Environmental Response Tracking System (ERTS).

Approved:

James J. Pendowski, Program Manager

Toxics Cleanup Program



# INITIAL INVESTIGATION SITE VISIT SAFETY AND HEALTH CHECKLIST

				ERT	S Number Dat	e of Investigation
. SITE LOCATION INFOR	RMATION			Arriv	al Time Firs	t Visit Yes □ No l
Site Name		***************************************				
Site Location						
Closest City				Cour	nty	
I. PURPOSE OF SITE VI	SIT/ACTIVITY	III. SAMPLING	,			
Site Inspection Ye	es 🗆 No 🗆	Ground Water	Yes □	No 🗆	Air Ye	es 🗆 No 🗅
Site Evaluation Ye	es 🗆 No 🗅	Surface Water	Yes 🗆	No 🗆	Drums Ye	es 🗆 No 🗅
Well Drilling Ye	es 🗆 No 🗅	Soil	Yes □	No 🗆	Other Ye	es 🗆 No 🗆
V. EMERGENCY CONTA	стѕ					
Police			Nearest H	Hospital/I	Emergency Facility Name	?
Fire Department			Address	***************************************		
Ecology	·		Phone			
/. PHYSICAL HAZARDS	***************************************					
Unstable stacks/piles		Noise/source			Temperature	
Confined spaces		Electrical			Slippery/tripping	
Construction/machinery		Pits/trenches			Radioactive materia	ıls 📮
Weather		Steep slopes				
Overhead		Traffic				
Other (explain)					100	
VI CHEMICAL HAZADD	e	-				
VI. CHEMICAL HAZARDS Solvents (volatile)	S	Explosives			Flammable	<u> </u>
Oxidizers		Corrosives			Carcinogens	
Dusts Gases, vapors		Pesticides Metals				
•						
Other (explain)						
Enfectious agents			annavor a		***************************************	

#### VII. INSTRUMENTATION

					······································								
Sui	vey Equipment:	·····	<del>_</del> .			T							
Α. (	CGI – Multimeter (O2, L	.EL, H2S,	, CO): #			Calibration date							
В. 1	TIP (PID): #					Calibration date							
C. (	OVA (FID): #					Calibration date							
D. F	RAM 1 (particulate): #					Calibration date							
F. 5	Sensidyne tubes (speci	fv tvne).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F Radiation	Radiation Meter: #								
	Other (explain):	<i>j ij</i> poj.			T. Hadidion	Wictor: II							
	(0.45.0)												
Act	ion Levels:	·	**************************************										
70.	Suspected Contain	inant	Instrume	nt Type	Δ.	ction Level	Sample Nun	nhore					
1.	Guopotica Coman	mane	monamon	не туро		oudir Edver	Gampie ivan	10013					
2.													
3.													
4.													
5.								** ' '					
6.													
Not	e: Survey results may	be Inclu	ded on the site n	nap.									
Le	I. REQUIRED PERSO		evel B 🗆	PMENT	Level C □		Level D 🗆						
	nments	Т			T .								
Tyv	Body ec □	H	Head ardhat		Gloves	Hands	Footwear Workshoes						
-	pler		oggles		Outer		CPC Boots	0					
	anex 🔲		arplugs		Inner		Booties						
Rair	ngear 🔲	Fa	aceshield		Specify Type		Steel-toes shoes	۵					
Ove	eralls 🔲	Sa	afety glasses				Waders	۵					
Oth	er	1	ar muffs				Insulated liners	۵					
			ood										
		Re	espirator										
Res	piratory Protection	Le	vel 8* 🛚		Level C <sup>*</sup> * □ Level D □								
Con	nments												
	* Lev	el B use s	shall require TCP:	staff to obtai	n the services o	of Level B trained pe	ersonnel.						
	** ELS	SA - Supp	olied air to be used	as backup	for escape only								
	Full face w/new cartrido	je □				Half face w/	new cartridge 🚨						

#### VIII. REQUIRED PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (continued)

Miscellaneous Equipme	nt:			111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
Drinking Water		Radio		Binoculars	
Eye wash		Electrolyte drink		Flashlight	
Camera		Fire extinguisher			
Other (explain)		***************************************	***************************************		
IX. POTENTIAL EXP	POSURE				***************************************
Total time on site:		hours and	minute	es	
Known chemical exposure:	:				
Protected?	U	nprotected?			
X. SAFETY RECOM	IMENDATIO	NS/CAUTIONS FOR FUT	URE VISITS I	O THIS SITE	
XI. TEAM MEMBERS	3				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
And I The Control of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Checklist completed by (p	olease print)				
Signature					
Date			Time		



### INITIAL INVESTIGATION FIELD REPORT

				ERTS N	umber
SITE NAME					
SITE LOCATION INFORMATIO	)N				
ontact Person Name	Nacional Association (Control of Control of		Title	F	Phone No.
lailing Address			City	Z	Zip + 4
ite Location			Closest City	C	County
uarter-Quarter			Township		
Longitude:	Degree		Minute		
Latitude:	Degree		Minute		
INSPECTION INFORMATION					
nspection Date	Inspection Time	<b>;</b>	Type of Entry Notice	Wanning 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Photographs	Yes □	No 🗆	Weather: Clear □	Partly Cloudy □	Overcast 🗆
Videotape	Yes □	No □	Precipitation	Temperat	ure
Samples	Yes □	No □		Wind Spe	
RECOMMENDATION					
No Further Action:					
Release or threatened releas	•	a threat 🛚		d Assessment	
No release or threatened rele	ease		Interim Action		
Educational mailing				nt Cleanup Action	
Refer to another program/ag	ency			Progress	
				mpleted	
Name 					
Comments					
DEPARTMENT REVIEW					
nvestigator				Date	1211 always 2012 2010 L
Approved by:					
Unit Supervisor:		·		Date	VF000000000000000000000000000000000000
Section Manager:				Date	

OBSERVATIONS																	
Description of observat	ions:																
Boothplion of obcorva																	
MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF																	
											<del> </del>				·		
MANAGEMENT																	
			***************************************		· · ·												
Description of past pra-	ctices li	kely to	be res	ponsib	le for co	ntamina	ation:	***************************************									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		•			_										
		,				***************************************											
Activities or practices re	esponsi	ble for			1:												
Spill						LUST											
Pesticide disposal				<u></u>			Tank										
Landfill Drums			-	_ _			Improper handling Improper disposal								L		
									πιριομ	ci dispi	Jai						
Other – Describe																	
Are discharges permitte	ed:					No	Y	'es		Stan	dard In	dustria	l Code(:	s)			
If yes, describe		···															
CONTAMINANT(S)																	
	CON	ITAMI	NANT	S (#1-	 16: See		minan	s kev	) Enter	letter (	designa	ating s	tatus of	f conta	ıminanı	· AFF	
CONTAMINANT(S)  AFFECTED MEDIA	CON					e conta									ıminant	: AFF	
		C =	Confir	med, S	= Susp	oected,	<b>P</b> = P	otentia	al, R =	Remed	liated,	<b>u</b> = U	nknowr	า			
AFFECTED MEDIA	CON	C =	Confir	med, S	= Susp		<b>P</b> = P	otentia	al, R =	Remed	liated,	<b>u</b> = U	nknowr	า			
AFFECTED MEDIA  Ground Water		C =	Confir	med, S	= Susp	oected,	<b>P</b> = P	otentia	al, R =	Remed	liated,	<b>u</b> = U	nknowr	า			
AFFECTED MEDIA  Ground Water Surface Water		C =	Confir	med, S	= Susp	oected,	<b>P</b> = P	otentia	al, R =	Remed	liated,	<b>u</b> = U	nknowr	า			
AFFECTED MEDIA  Ground Water		C =	Confir	med, S	= Susp	oected,	<b>P</b> = P	otentia	al, R =	Remed	liated,	<b>u</b> = U	nknowr	า			

Sediment																
Air																
1 Halogenated organic compounds	7	Phenolic compounds						13 Radioactive wastes								
2 Metals - Priority pollutants	8	Non-halogenated solvents						Conventional contaminants, organic								
3 Metals - Other	9	Dioxin					15	5 Conventional contaminants, inorganic								
4 Polychlorinated biPhenyls (PCBs)	10	Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons					16	6 Base/neutral organics								
5 Pesticides	11	Reactive w	astes													
6 Petroleum products	12	Corrosive wastes														

#### SITE INFORMATION

Soil Type		Slope				
Site vegetation/cover present:						
Forest		Past	ure/open field			
Bare soil			ands			
Brush		Pave	ement			
Landscaped		Surfa	ace water			
Other - Describe						
Are there any drinking water syst	ems affected?					
Municipal, private, or both?	(Circle one)		- □ Yes	□No		
How many people are estima	ated to be affected?					*******
Is there a potential for a release or	r threatened release to affect a drinki	ing water source?	☐ Yes	□No		
Are there monitoring wells in the	vicinity?		☐ Yes	□No		
Are there dry wells in the vicinity?	?		☐ Yes	□No		
CONTAMINANT PATHWAYS AN				Т		
	Ingestion	Inhalati	on		Contact	
Ground Water						
Surface Water						
Drinking Water						******
Soil						
Sediment		······································			THE	
Air						
T				<u> </u>		
Targets possible:	П		Desidential			_
Human, adult			Residential			
Human, children			Industrial	ı		
			Commercia		******	
Sensitive environments (See WA	RM Scoring Manual for definition):	☐ Yes		No		
If yes, describe						
- Add - Add -						
				•		
General Comments						
						-
	A					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
**************************************						

#### SITE MAP/DIAGRAM

Sit	Site Name										^								
															a de la companya de l				
																	***************************************		
																	***************************************		
																		····	
		***************************************																	
											:								
		<u> </u>													 				
1	1	<u>.                                    </u>		L															
No.	rth									Appr	oximat	e scal	e:		 inch =	:	 	feet	
ERI	S Nur	nber									Cou						 		
Inspector										Date									